

RESEARCH BRIEF

Atiera Coleman, MA
CUIR Survey Center
University of
Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Joseph Cera, PhD
CUIR Survey Center
University of
Wisconsin—Milwaukee

WASHINGTON COUNTY PARKS AND TRAILS MAIL SURVEY

The *Washington County Parks and Trails Mail Survey* is a survey of respondents that have rented a shelter in the Washington County Park and Trails system conducted by the **UWM Center for Urban Initiatives and Research (CUIR)**, in cooperation with the **Washington County Planning and Parks Department**. This survey captured preferences and experiences of Washington County residents that related to the County Park and Trail shelters, and opinions on what the parks should focus on in the future.

METHODOLOGY

The Washington County Mail Survey was disseminated by Washington County to 736 addresses of households that have previously rented a park shelter between January 1st 2012 and September 1st 2014. Of the mailed surveys, 155 were collected for analysis.

MAJOR FINDINGS

- Among respondents 88.2% stated that they were very satisfied with their overall shelter rental experience.
- Respondents stated that the four most important amenities when reserving a shelter are flush restrooms, electricity, tables and chairs, and proximity to parking.
- When asked what amenities should be added to one or more shelters, the top three chosen responses were flush restrooms, running water, and electricity.
- 81.6% stated that they were able to rent their first choice shelter. If respondents could not rent their first choice, 62.1% stated that they would have chosen a different shelter.
- Ridge Run was the most visited park with over 60% of respondents visiting that park at least once in the past year.
- Over 90% of respondents stated that they would prefer to make a reservation within the same year of the reservation date, and 32.6% stated that they would prefer to make the reservation online.
- The three most prevalent activities within Washington County Parks were fishing (71%), hiking 52.3%, and running/walking (50.3%).
- ‘Festivals, farmers’ markets, and fairs’, additional bike trails, and lookout towers appealed to the most respondents as activities they and their family would participate in if they were offered by Washington County parks and trails.
- The majority of respondents agreed that new trails should be developed to connect cities and villages throughout the county as well as to connect major existing parks, trails, and other points of interest throughout the county.
- 53.5% of respondents want Washington County to invest in nature based recreational parks. The second most popular option was a county wide trail system (37.4%).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Park Utilization	Page 2
Satisfaction	Page 6
Opinions & Future Investments	Page 10
Shelter Amenities	Page 13
Park Activities	Page 15
Demographics	Page 18
Open ended responses	Page 20
Appendix	Page 22


PARK UTILIZATION

The first section of the survey was designed to gain insight into the utilization and satisfaction of Washington County parks and shelters. The first question asked within which county park the respondent most recently reserved a shelter. Homestead Hollow had the most shelter rentals, with 25.9% of respondents renting a shelter within that park. Goeden received the least amount of park shelter rentals, with less than 1% of respondents reserving a shelter in that park (see Table 1 & Figure 1).

Table 1: In what County park did you most recently reserve a shelter

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Ackerman's Grove	12	8.4
Family Park	0	0
Glacier Hills	19	13.3
Goeden	1	.7
Heritage Trails	3	2.1
Homestead Hollow	37	25.9
Leonard J. Yahr	18	12.6
Ridge Run	23	16.1
Sandy Knoll	30	21.0
Total	143	100.0

Figure 1: In what County park did you most recently reserve a shelter



Respondents listed their frequency of visitation for each park in the Washington County Park and Trail system within the past year. Respondents were given the option to choose zero visits, 1-5 visits, 6-10 visits, or 10 or more visits. Among all of the Washington County parks, Ridge Run, Sandy Knoll, and Glacier Hills were the most visited parks. Henschke was the least visited park with only 1.3% of respondents stating they have visited Henschke at least once in the past year (see Figure 2 & Tables 2-14).

Figure 2: Visits to the Washington County Parks




Table 2: Visits to Ackerman's Grove

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	57	64.8
1-5 visits	23	26.1
6-10 visits	2	2.3
More than 10 visits	6	6.8
Total	88	100.0

Table 3: Visits to Cedar Lake Wayside

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	74	89.2
1-5 visits	8	9.6
6-10 visits	1	1.2
Total	83	100.0

Table 4: Visits to Eisenbahn State Trail

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Eisenbahn State Trail		
0 visits	45	47.4
1-5 visits	25	26.3
6-10 visits	7	7.4
More than 10 visits	18	18.9
Total	95	100.0

Table 5: Visits to Family Park

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	72	86.7
1-5 visits	8	9.6
More than 10 visits	3	3.6
Total	83	100.0

Table 6: Visits to Glacier Hills

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	45	41.7
1-5 visits	50	46.3
6-10 visits	6	5.6
More than 10 visits	7	6.5
Total	108	100.0

Table 7: Visits to Goeden

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	74	94.9
1-5 visits	4	5.1
Total	78	100.0

Table 8: Visits to Heritage Trails

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	65	79.3
1-5 visits	13	15.9
6-10 visits	1	1.2
More than 10 visits	3	3.7
Total	82	100.0

Table 9: Visits to Henschke

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	76	98.7
1-5 visits	1	1.3
Total	77	100.0

Table 10: Visits to Homestead Hollow

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	48	47.5
1-5 visits	37	36.6
6-10 visits	9	8.9
More than 10 visits	7	6.9
Total	101	100.0

Table 11: Visits to Leonard J. Yahr

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	53	58.2
1-5 visits	32	35.2
6-10 visits	5	5.5
More than 10 visits	1	1.1
Total	91	100.0

Table 12: Visits to Lizard Mound

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	62	70.5
1-5 visits	24	27.3
6-10 visits	1	1.1
More than 10 visits	1	1.1
Total	88	100.0

Table 13: Visits to Ridge Run

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	41	36.3
1-5 visits	53	46.9
6-10 visits	5	4.4
More than 10 visits	14	12.4
Total	113	100.0

Table 14: Visits to Sandy Knoll

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
0 visits	44	41.5
1-5 visits	50	47.2
6-10 visits	6	5.7
More than 10 visits	6	5.7
Total	106	100.0

SATISFACTION

This section of the survey asked respondents to state whether they were very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, neutral, somewhat dissatisfied, or not at all satisfied with several aspects of their shelter rental experience. Over 70% of respondents were very satisfied with all of the rental experience aspects. Respondents were most satisfied with the seating capacity of the shelters, with 91.5% stating that they were very satisfied. Shelter cleanliness received the lowest percentage of overall satisfaction; only 70.1% of respondents were very satisfied with the cleanliness of the shelter they rented (see Figure 3 and Tables 15-20).

Figure 3: Shelter Experience Satisfaction (all parks)




Table 15: Overall level of service by the planning & park department staff throughout your rental experience (all parks)

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Somewhat dissatisfied	2	1.4
Neutral	5	3.4
Somewhat satisfied	19	13.0
Very satisfied	120	82.2
Total	155	100.0

Table 16: Ease of access to shelter (all parks)

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Somewhat dissatisfied	1	.7
Neutral	6	4.0
Somewhat satisfied	9	6.0
Very satisfied	134	89.3
Total	150	100.0

Table 17: Shelter cleanliness (all parks)

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Somewhat dissatisfied	5	3.2
Neutral	5	3.2
Somewhat satisfied	36	23.4
Very satisfied	108	70.1
Total	154	100.0

Table 18: Parking access (all parks)

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Not at all satisfied	1	.6
Somewhat dissatisfied	1	.6
Neutral	2	1.3
Somewhat satisfied	17	11.0
Very satisfied	133	86.4
Total	154	100.0

Table 19: Seating capacity (all parks)

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Somewhat dissatisfied	1	.7
Neutral	3	2.0
Somewhat satisfied	9	5.9
Very satisfied	140	91.5
Total	153	100.0

Table 20: Overall experience (all parks)

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Neutral	1	.7
Somewhat satisfied	17	11.2
Very satisfied	134	88.2
Total	152	100.0

Shelter satisfaction was broken down by each park within the Washington County Park and Trail System. Each chart below expresses the percentage of respondents that were very satisfied with the shelter rental experience. (see Figures 4-11)

Figure 4: Ackerman’s Grove (N=12)




Figure 5: Glacier Hills (N=19)




Figure 6: Goeden (N=1)




Figure 7: Heritage Trails (N=3)




Figure 8: Homestead Hollow (N=37)




Figure 9: Leonard J. Yahr (N=18)




Figure 10: Ridge Run (N=23)





Figure 11: Sandy Knoll (N=30)



OPINIONS & FUTURE INVESTMENTS

Respondents were asked a series of questions in regards to their shelter reservation experience; the answers indicate that the majority of respondents were able to rent their first choice shelter, which was available when the majority of respondents wanted. If respondents could not get their first choice, 62.1% of respondents would have rented another shelter. Over 90% of respondents expressed that they would prefer to make a reservation within the same year of the reservation date (see Tables 21-24).

Table 21: Was the shelter you rented your first choice?

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
No	18	11.8
Yes	134	81.6
Total	152	100.0

Table 22: Was your first choice shelter available when you wanted?

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
No	28	18.4
Yes	124	81.6
Total	152	100.0

Table 23: If you could not get your first choice, please indicate how you proceeded.

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Changed the date	11	37.9
Rented Another Shelter	18	62.1
Other	0	0
Total	29	100.0

Table 24: How far in advance would you prefer to make your reservation?

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Within the same year of the reservation	138	90.8
More than a year prior to the reservation	14	9.2
Total	152	100.0

Respondents were asked to express their opinions on questions pertaining to the future development of Washington County parks and trails. The answers indicate that the majority of respondents agree that new trails should be developed to connect cities and villages throughout the county as well as to connect major existing parks, trails, and other points of interest throughout the county. When asked about developing new trails that would connect existing trails in adjacent counties, 51.9% of respondents oppose this. In regards to the county developing a countywide trail system, 28% of respondents somewhat agreed, while 20.7% strongly agreed (see Tables 25-28)

Table 25: Should new trails be developed to connect cities and villages throughout the County?

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
No	54	41.5
Yes	76	58.5
Total	130	100.0

Table 26: Should new trails be developed to connect major existing parks, trails, and other points of interest throughout the County?

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
No	39	29.3
Yes	94	70.7
Total	133	100.0

Table 27: Should new trails be developed to connect to existing trails in adjacent counties?

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
No	67	51.9
Yes	62	48.1
Total	129	100.0

Table 28: The County SHOULD invest in developing a countywide trail system

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Strongly disagree	8	5.3
Somewhat disagree	13	8.7
Neutral	56	37.3
Somewhat agree	42	28.0
Strongly agree	31	20.7
Total	150	100.0

Respondents were asked what their preferred method in reserving park shelters. The top three most favorable methods were reserving online (32.6%), reserving over the telephone (27.5%), and reserving in person at the planning & parks (26.7%). The least preferable method was a mobile app, only 4.4% of respondents chose this method as preferable to reserve shelters (see Figure 12 and Table 29).

Figure 12: Preferred Reservation Method




Table 29: Preferred reservation method

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Telephone	75	27.5
Mobile app	12	4.4
Online	89	32.6
Mail-in	20	7.3
In person at the planning & parks	73	26.7
Other	2	0.7
Total	271	100.0

SHELTER AMENITIES

This section of the survey asked respondents to state what activities and amenities were important to them when they reserved a shelter, as well as what amenities and activities they would like to see added by the Washington County parks and trail system. Respondents were asked to indicate which features were important and were allowed to choose multiple amenities. The four most-selected features were flush restrooms (85.2%), electricity (77.4%), tables and chairs (75.5%), and proximity to parking (72.3%). The least selected amenity was the addition of a fire pit, only 5.2% of respondents selected this amenity (see Table 30). When asked what influenced their decision to rent a shelter, respondents chose the location (78.1%), the ability to accommodate the size of their group (75.5%), and the fact that they liked the parks (61.9%) as the three most influential factors. The least influential factor was being referred to the park shelters by another agency, zero respondents chose this factor as being influential (see Table 31).

Table 30: Important features when reserving shelter

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage of respondents</i>
Proximity to play fields	33	21.3
Non-flush restroom (porta potty)	9	5.8
Running water	74	47.7
Fire pit	8	5.2
Proximity to parking	112	72.3
Tables and chairs	117	75.5
Charcoal grill	35	22.6
Amount of parking	77	49.7
Proximity to playground	61	39.4
Heat	27	17.4
Proximity to garbage dumpsters	39	25.2
Proximity to hiking trails	30	19.4
Electricity	120	77.4
Proximity to lake, river or pond	37	23.9
ADA accessibility	17	11.0
Flush restroom	132	85.2
Kitchen facility	60	38.7
**Other	7	4.5
Total	996	*

* Percentage totals do not add up to 100% as a result of respondents having the option to choose multiple responses

** See page 20 for a complete list of open ended responses

Table 31: What influenced you to rent a shelter in the Washington County Park and Trail System?

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage of respondents</i>
Location	121	78.1
Proximity to natural resources	36	23.2
Access to park amenities	67	43.2
Accommodation of the size of my group	117	75.5
Cost/affordability	94	60.6
Proximity to flush restrooms	91	58.7
Proximity to my home	84	54.2
Yearly event	25	16.1
Availability	58	37.4
Liked the parks	96	61.9
Referred by another agency	0	0
**Other	9	5.8
Total	799	*

*Percentage totals do not add up to 100% as a result of respondents having the option to choose multiple responses

** See page 20 for a complete list of open ended responses

Respondents were also asked to indicate which features should be added to one or more shelters within the County Park and Trail system. Each respondent had the opportunity to choose multiple responses. Among the several options, flush restrooms (29.7%), running water (27.7%), and electricity (26.5%) had the highest response rate (see Table 32).

Table 32: Support for adding features to one or more shelters

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage of respondents</i>
Wifi	22	14.2
Projector and screen	6	3.9
Stove	15	9.7
Microwave	14	9.0
Refrigerator	30	19.4
Available overnight stays	13	8.4
Dry erase board	2	1.3
TV/DVD	5	3.2
Podium	4	2.6
Outdoor deck	15	9.7
Electricity	41	26.5
Later checkout time	22	14.2
Speaker system with microphone	23	14.8
Heat	24	15.5
Running water	43	27.7
Flush restrooms	46	29.7
Air conditioning	27	17.4
**Other	6	3.9
Total	358	*

* Percentage totals do not add up to 100% as a result of respondents having the option to choose multiple responses

** See page 20 for a complete list of open ended responses

Among 146 respondents, 95.2% believe that the current price of reserving park facilities is reasonably priced. The majority (68.1%) of respondents would also pay a slightly higher fee to reserve a shelter if the new amenities they selected were added.

Table 33: What is your opinion about the current price of reserving park facilities?

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Reasonably priced	139	95.2
Too high	7	4.8
Too Low	0	0
Total	146	100.0

Table 34: Would you be willing to pay a slightly higher fee to reserve a shelter if the amenities you selected were available?

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
No	33	31.9
Yes	77	68.1
Total	113	100.0

PARK ACTIVITIES

Respondents were asked to state which activities they or their children participate in when they visit the county park, as well as what activities that they would participate in if they were offered through the county. Respondents could choose multiple answers. The most selected activities were open water or ice fishing (71%), Hiking (52.3%), and running/walking (50.3%). The least selected activity was driving through the parks for pleasure, with zero respondents choosing this activity option. Among all of the activities that participants would utilize if they were offered through the county, ‘festivals, farmers’ markets, and fairs’ (47.7%), additional bike trails (32.3%), and lookout towers (39.4%) appealed to the most respondents (see Tables 35-37).

Table 35: Activities currently available that you and/or your children participate in when you visit the County Park and Trail System

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage of respondents</i>
Archeological study	8	5.2
Athletic events	13	8.4
Baseball/softball	15	9.7
Basketball	14	9.0
Biking	40	25.8
Birding	14	9.0
Boating (trailer)	10	6.5
Boating (carry-in/canoe/kayak)	11	7.1
Cross Country skiing ungroomed trails	13	8.4
Cultural events	7	4.5
Dog walking/exercise, on-leash	45	29.0
Driving through parks for pleasure	0	0
Family gathering	47	30.3
Fishing (open water or ice)	110	71.0
Geocaching	11	7.1
Golfing	2	1.3
Hiking	81	52.3
Horseshoes	8	5.2
Ice skating	5	3.2
Kite flying	14	9.0
Lunch/work break	21	13.5
Nature viewing/study	46	29.7
Outdoor fitness equipment	11	7.1
Pickleball	2	1.3
Picnicking	50	32.3
Photography	34	21.9
Playing on playgrounds	60	38.7
Relaxation/stress relief	65	41.9
Remote control activities	2	1.3
Running/walking	78	50.3
School group visits	13	8.4
Skateboarding/rollerblading	2	1.3
Sledding/tubing	21	13.5
Snowmobiling	7	4.5
Snowshoeing	16	10.3
Soccer	6	3.9
Star gazing	6	3.9
Summer youth camps	3	1.9
Swimming (beach)	26	16.8
Tennis	4	2.6
Volleyball (sand)	10	6.5
Work-related function	10	6.5
**Other	5	3.2
Total	966	*

* Percentage totals do not add up to 100% as a result of respondents having the option to choose multiple responses

** See page 20 for a complete list of open ended responses

Table 36: The activities or amenities you and your children would participate in or utilize if they were offered through the County

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage of respondents</i>
Additional benches along trails	46	29.7
Additional bike trails	50	32.3
Adult recreation/skill development classes	18	11.6
ATV/dirt bike trails or use areas	18	11.6
Archery ranges	19	12.3
Baseball	3	1.9
BMX course	1	0.6
Camping	38	24.5
Disc golf	27	17.4
Dog parks	35	22.6
Educational sessions for school groups	15	9.7
Festivals, farmers' markets, and fairs	74	47.7
Football	3	1.9
Foot golf	0	0
Groomed cross-country ski trails	25	16.1
Horseback riding trails	12	7.7
Hunting	15	9.7
Improved ice skating areas	13	8.4
Informational nature trail signage	38	24.5
Lookout towers	61	39.4
Mountain biking trails	17	11.0
Nature Center	36	23.2
Nature educational programs	25	16.1
Natural playscapes	18	11.6
Outdoor fitness equipment	18	11.6
Outdoor yoga/fitness events	20	12.9
Overnight cabin rentals	29	18.7
Paved /ADA accessible trails	9	5.8
Pet waste stations on Eisenbahn State Trail	31	20.0
Rollerblading or skateboarding	2	1.3
Roller hockey	0	0
Toddler playgrounds	23	14.8
Signage displaying slopes & distances	15	9.7
Swimming in pools	32	20.6
The arts (music, arts, drama, dance)	20	12.9
Water trails for canoe/kayaking	35	22.6
Water slides / water parks / splash pads	31	20.0
Volunteerism	13	8.4
**Other	4	2.6
Total	889	*

* Percentage totals do not add up to 100% as a result of respondents having the option to choose multiple responses

** See page 20 for a complete list of open ended responses

Respondents expressed their opinions on what the county should invest in for the future. The most selected option was nature based recreational parks with 53.5% of respondents choosing this option. The least selected option was high intensity recreational parks, only 12.9% of respondents chose this method (see Table 35)

Table 37: What types of parks should the county invest in for the future?

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage of respondents</i>
Nature based recreational parks	83	53.5
High intensity recreational parks	20	12.9
Countywide Trail System	58	37.4
Countywide Greenways	29	18.7
Large active recreational parks	22	14.2
Balance of all of these options	45	29.0
Total	257	*

* Percentage totals do not add up to 100% as a result of respondents having the option to choose multiple responses

DEMOGRAPHICS

Gender

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
Female	110	72.8
Male	41	27.2
Total	151	100.0

Age

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
18-34	12	7.9
35-49	36	23.8
50-64	73	48.3
65 and up	30	19.9
Total	151	100.0

Race

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
African American	1	.7
Asian	1	.7
White	139	95.9
Native American/Alaska Native	0	0
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0	0
Mixed	1	.7
Other	3	2.1
Total	145	100.0

Income

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
\$30,000 or less	17	13.3
\$30,000 to \$60,000	33	25.8
\$60,000 to \$90,000	39	30.5
\$90,000 to \$120,000	31	24.2
More than \$120,000	8	6.3
Total	128	100.0

Where respondents live

	<i>Responses</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
City of Hartford	3	2.0
City of West Bend	39	26.4
Village of Germantown	18	12.2
Village of Jackson	4	2.7
Village of Kewaskum	0	0.0
Village of Newburg	1	0.7
Village of Richfield	22	14.9
Village of Slinger	5	3.4
Town of Addison	3	2.0
Town of Barton	7	4.7
Town of Erin	1	0.7
Town of Farmington	6	4.1
Town of Germantown	0	0.0
Town of Hartford	2	1.4
Town of Jackson	4	2.7
Town of Kewaskum	2	1.4
Town of Polk	2	1.4
Town of Trenton	12	8.1
Town of Wayne	4	2.7
Town of West Bend	7	4.7
Outside of Washington County	6	0.7
Total	143	100.0

OPEN ENDED RESPONSES

Several of the questions had the option to choose other and write in an original answer. Below are the open ended responses to the questions with an 'other' option.

Preferred reservation method (Table 29)

	<i>Responses</i>
All of them are fine	1
All with credit card	1
Total	2

Which features were important to you when you reserved your shelter? (Table 30)

	<i>Responses</i>
Large grassy area for lawn games	1
Privacy	1
Wanted chapel	1
Air conditioning	1
Correct field for 1850 Baseball	1
Having a "private" part of the park near the shelter. Homestead has behind the shelter which seems more secluded from public park	1
Total	6

What influenced you to rent a shelter in the Washington County Park and Trail System? (Table 31)

	<i>Responses</i>
Rustic setting	1
Proximity to work	1
Wanted the chapel for a wedding	1
Liked the barn facility	1
Had a class reunion there!	1
Use of Eller's Lake	1
Wanted an old fashion church wedding setting to honor our parents	1
Total	7

Features that should be added to one or more shelters within the County Park and Trail System (Table 32)

	<i>Responses</i>
Parking lot lighting	1
Outdoor pavilion	1
Another Restroom on The Eisenbahn Trail	1
Better, brighter lighting -our shelter was dim + had some bulbs out.	1
Refrigerator didn't work fairly - needs to be functional	1
Dog Park!	1
Total	6

Activities currently available that the respondent or their children participate in when they visit a county park (Table 35)

	<i>Responses</i>
Group picnic	1
Cross Country meet	1
Kickball	1
Weddings	1
Family reunion	1
Total	5

The activities or amenities that the respondent or their children would participate in or utilize if they were offered through the County Park and Trails System (Table 36)

	<i>Responses</i>
Group activities	1
My son is young, but as he gets older, I see many of these things!	1
Pet waste station. I HATE watching where I have to step every park	1
Total	3

If they lived outside of Washington County (Demographics)

	<i>Responses</i>
East Troy	1
Milwaukee	1
Ozaukee	1
Sussex	1
Waukesha	2
Total	6

APPENDIX

Some surveys contained comments written in the margins from respondents. The comments have been provided below as they may serve as helpful for the Planning and Parks Department.

Additional comments from respondents

<p>“I did not like the fact that my name, address, phone number was posted on the shelter for everyone to see. That is an invasion of my privacy and I will not rent a shelter again until that practice has changed.”</p> <p>“We love the Washington county parks!”</p> <p>“The system is fine the way it is”</p> <p>“Thanks for the chance to do the survey, we think Washington county has some great parks!”</p> <p>“Would like to see one park in the west bend with a chlorine swimming pool/diving boards, with lifeguards to go to. Not just a pond. County cement swimming pool for the public”</p>
--